## Acomb First School:2016-2017 Pupil Premium Strategy Statement

| Total number of pupils                                     | 57 (September<br>2016) | Number of pupils eligible for pupil premium<br>funding at Jan 2016 12 children from a total of 61.<br>19.7% | September 2016<br>9 children from total on roll of<br>57 is 15.8% |
|--|------------------------|---|---|
| <b>Number of pupil premiu</b><br>Reception = 0; Yr 1 = 3 Y |                        | year group in September 2016:<br>Yr 4 = 1;  |   |
| Total pupil premium buc                                    | <b>dget:</b> £15, 420  | Amount per pupil: R to 4 =£1,320  |   |

| 2. Early Years: Children achieving a Good Level of Development in July 2016 (Reception class) |  |                                  |  |  |  |
|---|--|----------------------------------|--|--|--|
|   | Attainment of our children eligible for the pupil premium funding 3 children | Attainment of our other children |  |  |  |
| % achieving a Good Level of Development (GLD)   | 100  | 83.3                             |  |  |  |

| 3. End of Key Stage 1 (Year 2) June 2016 Attainment Figures |   |   |              |
|---|---|---|--------------|
|   | Attainment of our Year 2 pupils<br>eligible for pupil premium<br>funding. 4 pupils. | National<br>averages for<br>others nationally | Difference % |

| % reaching expected standard in reading                     | 100% | 78% | + 22% |
|---|------|-----|-------|
| % reaching a high score/working at greater depth in reading | 25%  | 27% | -2%   |
| % reaching expected standard in writing                     | 100% | 70% | +30   |
| % reaching a high score/working at greater depth in writing | 25%  | 16% | +11   |
| % reaching expected standard in maths                       | 100% | 77% | +23   |
| % reaching a high score/working at greater depth in maths   | 0%   | 20% | - 20% |
| % reaching expected standard in science                     | 100% | 85% | +15%  |
| % reaching expected standard in science                     | 100% | 85% | +15%  |

| 4. (Year 4) 2016 Attainment Figures and Progress             |   |  |              |  |  |
|--|---|--|--------------|--|--|
|  | Attainment of our Year 4<br>pupils eligible for pupil<br>premium funding ( 2 pupils). | averages for non<br>disadvantaged in<br>school in school | Difference % |  |  |
| % reaching expected standard in reading teacher assessment   | 100%  | 100%   | 0            |  |  |
| % / working at greater depth in writing                      | 0%  | 20%  | -20%         |  |  |
| % reaching expected standard in writing (teacher assessment) | 50%   | 80%  | -30%         |  |  |
| % working at greater depth in writing                        | 0%  | 20%  | -20%         |  |  |

| % reaching expected standard in maths test (teacher assessment) | 50% | 90% | -40% |
|---|-----|-----|------|
| % working at greater depth in writing in maths                  | 0%  | 20% | -20% |
|   | -   |     |      |

| 5. Bar | . Barriers to future attainment for pupil eligible for pupil premium funding in 2016-2017           |  |  |  |  |
|--------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Α.     | An increasing number of pupil premium children also have special educational needs.                 |  |  |  |  |
| В.     | Some parents do not support home learning well e.g. do not hear their children read or read to them |  |  |  |  |
| C.     | Low self-esteem and low aspirations of some pupil premium children                                  |  |  |  |  |
| D.     | Some pupil premium children have social/emotional needs.  |  |  |  |  |
| Е      |   |  |  |  |  |

| 6. Oı | atcomes and success criteria for summer 2017   |
|-------|--|
| Α.    | Reception : 0% PP  |
| В.    | Year 1 phonics screening: 87% of cohort to pass the phonics test and 100% of pupil premium children. (2016 pass rate was 81.8% and 100% PP ; 1 child).   |
| C.    | End of KS1 (Year 2) % of cohort to achieve expected standard: Reading 9/11 = 81.8%, Writing 9/11 = 81.8%, Maths 9/11 = 81.8% and combined RWM 81.8%. Currently there is one pupil premium children in this year group who is targeted to achieve EXS in all areas. |
| D.    | End of KS2 (Year 4) % of pupil premium children to achieve at least expected standard: Reading (1) 100%, Writing (1) 100%, Maths (1) 100%  |

|  | et evaluation and thorough t<br>emium Pupil Progress meeti   | racking and monitoring of the a<br>ngs  | attainment and progress o   | of pupil pren       | nium children  |
|--|--|---|---|---------------------|--|
| 7. Planned expenditure   |  | ntage of EXS and GDS across   | the school for PP and oth   | er children         |  |
| Desired outcome  | Actions  | What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?   | How will you ensure it is implemented well?   | Staff lead          | Impact & further actions   |
| 1) Ensure all teachers and<br>learning assistants have high<br>expectations about the<br>progress and attainment of<br>pupil premium children, so<br>they stretch and challenge<br>them appropriately. | <ul> <li>* Pupil progress focused on<br/>needs/support of pupil premium<br/>children.</li> <li>CPD on quality First<br/>teaching</li> <li>Review and monitor role of<br/>LSA</li> <li>LSa attend all staff meetings</li> </ul> | Ofsted stated that "adults expectations<br>are often too low" and "work is too<br>challenging or not challenging enough." | <ul> <li>Book scrutinies</li> <li>Learning walks<br/>focusing on LSA role</li> <li>Staff meeting notes</li> </ul>                 | HT                  | These actions are<br>reviewed half termly<br>Work scrutinies<br>show next steps<br>marking to be<br>patchy at this stage.<br>More staff meetings<br>and training planned |
| 2) Improve the quality of<br>marking so it impacts strongly<br>on the attainment and<br>progress of pupil premium<br>children.   | * Teachers to mark the work of pupil<br>premium /SEND children in greater<br>detail so children know how to<br>improve their work and check<br>understanding in response to<br>marking   | Marking is under scrutiny for all children  | Half termly book scrutinies   | All teachers<br>HT, | _  |
| 4) To ensure misconceptions are quickly addressed.   | * Feedback and marking to address<br>children's misconceptions promptly<br>as they arise   |   | Learning walks and book<br>scrutinies to ensure marking<br>addresses misconceptions and<br>next steps, moving the learning<br>on. | All staff           | This area has been<br>tackled in all<br>classes.   |

| 5. Maths training for all staff<br>including LSA staff to improve<br>proportions of Expected and<br>GDS achievement.  | Training through maths hub and teaching school  | Increase % of children achieving GDS in maths                               |  |                      | £850  |
|---|---|---|--|----------------------|---|
|   |   |   | Total bu   | dgeted cos           | st £5,000   |
| ii. Targeted support for  | r pupil premium children to   | help them catch up with their p   | peers.   |                      |   |
| Desired outcome   | Actions   | What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?                         | How will you ensure it is implemented well?  | Staff<br>lead        | Impact & further actions  |
| MATHS<br>End of KS1 82% to achieve<br>expected standard (whole<br>cohort). 1 PP child<br>Year 4 100% of pupil premium<br>children to achieve expected<br>standard. 1 PPchild<br>Other year groups All PP<br>children to achieve at least<br>EXS<br>Year 1 (cohort of 3)<br>Year 3 (cohort of 4) | * Further INSET by Great North<br>Maths Hub to improve subject<br>knowledge. For teachers and LSA<br>*<br>Maths meetings to be established<br>LSA provision in class and in<br>support groups<br>Use of Breaking barriers Numicon | To improve proportions of children who<br>achieve GDS including PP children | Further training for LSA's—<br>in-house and via Maths Hub.<br>Challenging performance<br>targets, learning walks and<br>workbook scrutinies.   | FH (Maths<br>Leader) | Courses booked<br>accordingly.<br>Great North Maths hub<br>contacted<br>Numicon purchased<br>See data |
| WRITING<br>Improve the writing skills for PP<br>children by helping them to<br>express their thoughts more<br>clearly first and provide a<br>structure for improving writing.   | * Embed Read, Write Inc Spelling<br>Zone programme.<br>RWInc training for staff<br>LSA staff to teach RWInc sessions  | Some PP children have limited writing skills                                | HT will work with staff and<br>children to ensure impact seen.<br>Work scrutinies will be carried<br>out and feedback on course will<br>also be held in a staff meeting to<br>ensure good ideas disseminated<br>further.dard | HT                   | Spelling programme<br>embedding £600<br>RWInc training in<br>place <b>£540</b>                        |
| <b>READING</b><br>Improve reading and confidence  | * encourage reading through events<br>and provision of materials  | Rates of progress too variable.   | Ht to monitor frequency of reading   | HT                   |   |

| and fluency amongst PP<br>children many of whom who do<br>not read regularly at home . | Staff to hear PP children read at school | Significant evidence that children in<br>families where they are supported with<br>their reading at home, do much better<br>than their peers who are not read with at<br>home. | See above   |            |         |
|--|--|--|-------------|------------|---------|
|  |  |  | Total budge | ted cost = | £ 6,300 |

| Desired outcome  | Actions  | What is the evidence and rationale for this choice? | How will you ensure it is implemented well?   | Staff<br>lead | Impact & further actions         |
|--|--|---|---|---------------|----------------------------------|
| Maximum attendance at school to help improve attainment. | * Attendance review meetings<br>organised with parents of poor<br>attenders, emphasis on the lack of<br>progress in RWM. | Some levels of attendance are too low.              | Close monitoring of attendance and absences.  | HT            | Already impacting on attendance; |
|  | Monitor lateness of PP children  | Some PP children are often late                     | * Close monitoring of lateness<br>and discussion with parents as<br>to barriers to being on time. | HT            | Already impacting on<br>lateness |

| Embed Growth Mindset and target behaviours for learning.  | Growth mindset assemblies and<br>lessons across school.<br>Communicate with parents<br>CPD  | Ofsted report focus on learning behaviours                   | Classroom observations and learning walks.                    | HT | Children are able to<br>use Growth mindset<br>in their learning                               |
|---|---|--|---|----|---|
| Improve role and impact of LSA staff  | LSA role examined in staff meetings<br>and embedded in classrooms .<br>LSA staff attend all staff meetings<br>Each PP child has a named LSA<br>and personal plan. | Ofsted report focus on role of LSA staff                     | Classroom observations and learning walks.                    | HT | LSA staff used<br>appropriately by<br>teachers to support and<br>challenge.                   |
| Improve Self –esteem of<br>children and aspiration of both<br>pupils and staff through praise<br>and celebration assemblies | Celebration assembles   | Low self-esteem of some children leads to lack of aspiration | Classroom observations and<br>learning walks<br>Book scrutiny | HT |   |
| Investigate and source high quality support and intervention strategies.  | Attendance at Pupil premium<br>network meetings   | Self evaluation and future planning                          | Materials of meetings and<br>measure impact of strategies     | HT | HT has more information<br>and shared good<br>practice to select from<br>for future provision |
| Total budgeted cost   |   |  |   |    | £1800   |

8. Other information

See SDP , data for more impact information

A total of £13,100 is allocated at this point.